```
Page 212
1
    language in -- on 1296?
2
            On 1296, I think I've seen a version like
    this before, but, you know, I'm not sure where
3
 4
    this came from.
5
            Have you seen it before today?
 6
        A
            Yes.
                                        401/403
            On Question 31?
7
        0
            On Question 31.
8
        A
            Do you know if Commerce wrote this
9
        0
10
    language or Census Bureau wrote this language?
11
        A
            I don't know.
            What would tell you?
12
13
        A
            I -- you know, seeing who wrote -- who
    sent the last version. So, I don't know.
14
            So I previously showed you a version that
15
        0
    Dr. Abowd represented was the final version --
16
17
        A
            Right.
18
            -- do you recall?
19
        A
            Yeah.
            And that version had the longer
20
        0
    Question 31 language --
21
22
        A Right.
```

```
Page 213
1
           -- correct?
 2
        A
           Yes.
3
            Yeah?
        0
 4
        A
            Yes.
5
            And so if we understand the version that
     Dr. Abowd said was final to include the longer
 6
    Question 31, does that tell you anything about who
7
     changed the language on 1296?
8
                                             401/403
9
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
10
            THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't -- no, not
11
     particularly. Probably -- not Dr. Abowd, but --
12
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
           Did you change the language in 31?
13
        0
            I did not.
14
        A
            Did anyone at Census change the language
15
        0
    in 31?
16
           I don't know.
        A
17
            Did you review this language in 31 before
18
19
    it was sent to Commerce?
        A I --
20
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Asked and
21
22
    answered.
```

```
Page 214
1
            THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
 2
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
3
        Q Is there anything that would help you
    recall?
 4
5
        A
            I don't know.
6
        0
            Do you know why this language was
    changed?
7
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Asked and
8
9
    answered.
10
            THE WITNESS: I assume it's an attempt to
11
    summarize the longer answer of the question.
                                           401/403
12
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
13
        Q Were there any discussions that you took
    part in as to why this language was changed?
14
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Asked and
15
16
    answered.
17
            THE WITNESS: Do not recall.
18
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
19
        0
           If the Census Bureau had changed this
    language, would John Abowd have been aware of the
20
21
    change?
22
        A Yes. I would think so.
```

9	Page 215
1	
	Q Yes, you would?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Had Census Bureau, to your knowledge,
4	ever taken the position that it was not bound by
(5)	past precedent when considering an agency's
6	request before?
7	A No. And I think I think the only
8	degree to which the Census Bureau in this instance
9	was not following past procedures is because the
10	Census Bureau took the position that the question
11	had been tested via the ACS. That's the only
12	aspect of the process that that we believed
13	didn't need to be undergone.
14	Q And when you say the Census Bureau took
15	that position, who in the Census Bureau?  PL obj: 802
16	A You know, I think the technical team, (lines 14-19)
17	every you know, management, everybody agreed
18	that this question has been thoroughly tested on
19	the ACS.
20	Q Are there has a question ever moved
21	from the ACS to the short form before?
22	A Not that I know of.

```
Page 216
         0
             And are there any quality standards that
 1
2
     address moving questions from one survey to
3
     another?
 4
             Not in particular. I mean, quality
5
     standards are roughly the same across all the
               Obviously, the census is different than
6
     surveys.
     the surveys in the sense that it's a census.
7
                                                   We
8
     ask everybody. So, you know, generally, you get
     better quality on the census than you would in a
9
10
     survey because you're asking everybody.
11
         0
            Any statistical standards that govern
12
     moving a question from the ACS to the decennial?
13
         A
             Not standards that don't apply everywhere
14
     else.
15
         0
             What do you mean?
             I mean, the statistical standards
16
         A
     are -- count for everything, not just -- so --
17
             But you're not aware of any guidance that
18
         0
19
     goes to the process of moving a question from the
     long form to the short form or the ACS to the
20
     short form, correct?
21
        A
22
            No.
```

```
Page 220
 1
         O
             Some are on paper?
         A
 2
             Yes.
 3
         0
             Some are in person?
         A
             Well, most surveys are multimode --
 4
 5
         0
             Okay.
 6
         A
            -- any more, so.
             Is it fair to conclude that a question is
 7
         0
     going to perform the same way on one survey that
8
    it might on a different survey?
9
10
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Calls for
11
    speculation.
12
             THE WITNESS: It isn't necessarily.
13
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
                                              401/403
             Why not?
14
         0
             Well, the -- you know, the modes will
15
         A
16
    matter.
17
         Q
             What else matters?
18
         A
             The -- you know, the length and
19
     complexity of the survey.
20
             What other sorts of things can cause a
         0
     question to perform different ways on different
21
22
    surveys?
```

```
Page 221
1
         A You know, we talked earlier about, you
 2
     know, changing attitudes about the government and
     stuff like that. So if one survey is seen as --
3
     as, you know, coming from the government or a part
 4
5
     of the government that they have bigger issues
     with, it may perform differently than, you
 6
     know -- so Census Bureau does pretty well with the
7
8
     surveys because the public generally tends to
                                            401/403
9
    trust the Census Bureau, so.
10
         Q But even within the same survey, can a
11
     changing political climate impact how a question
12
     performs?
13
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Calls for
14
     speculation.
             THE WITNESS: Again, it might. There's
15
16
     been no analysis to say that, one way or the
17
    other.
18
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
19
         0
           And that's my next question. Has the
    Census Bureau performed any analysis as to whether
20
    or not the citizenship question will perform the
21
    same way on the short form as it has on the ACS?
22
```

```
Page 222
1
            No.
                 We don't -- but I'll come back to
                                                   401/403
     say we don't have a good way of doing that.
2
             Would the National -- if the citizenship
 3
         0
     question had been included in the
 4
     National Content Test --
 5
             So that -- go ahead.
 6
 7
         0
             I'm sorry.
             If the citizenship question had been
 8
     included in the National Content Test, would that
9
10
     have given the Census Bureau any information as to
11
     response rates?
12
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Hypothetical.
13
             THE WITNESS: Most likely not. So you
14
     have to remember that the context of the decennial
15
     census is done as a nationwide activity with a
     huge advertising outreach and partnership campaign
16
     that you're never going to replicate in a small
17
18
     scale test. You're not going to replicate it on
19
     the ACS. To the degree that you think the
     political environment is something that might
20
21
     impact response rates to a particular question,
    you need to mimic the political environment that
22
```

```
Page 223
 1
     will exist when they're doing it. And the -- you
 2
     know, the amount of exposure that the census will
 3
     get during the live census is, you know, part of
 4
     that environment, and we just can't test that. So
 5
     the only thing we can test right now is whether
 6
     people understand the question, and whether they
     can answer it, and whether they answer it at a
 7
     rate sufficient to provide high-quality data. The
 8
     answer to those questions is all in the
9
10
     affirmative.
11
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
12
             In the context of the ACS, correct?
13
             In the context of the ACS. Or in the
         A
14
     context of -- of that 2018 end-to-end test.
     wouldn't have learned anything in addition to
15
16
     that, so.
             The -- if the citizenship question had
17
18
     been included in the 2018 end-to-end test, would
19
     you have gotten item nonresponse rate data?
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Calls for
20
                                            401/403
21
     speculation.
22
             THE WITNESS: Yes. We would have gotten
```

```
Page 224
1
     item nonresponse rate data. It would not
2
     have -- it would not have answered the question of
     what things would look like during the 2020
3
                                                   401/403
     census, no more than the ACS does.
4
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
 5
             Why do you say that?
 6
         0
             Because they're both done outside of that
 7
         A
 8
     context.
 9
         0
             So the race and ethnicity proposed
10
     changes were tested, correct?
11
         A
             They were tested to see if people
     understood and could answer the question and what
12
13
     the relative data quality of the different
     questions was. The experiment was against the
14
15
     different questions.
             Is it possible to test a survey -- so --
16
17
             We could have tested two versions of a
     citizenship question --
18
19
         0
             And the census --
             -- that might have been informative, but
20
     not whether a, you know, citizenship question
21
    versus no citizenship question.
22
```

```
Page 225
        0
            Why couldn't you have tested that?
 1
2
        A
            What?
            Why could -- a citizenship question
3
        0
4
     versus a non- -- no citizenship question?
5
            I think -- I just argued that. Without
     doing it in decennial, we won't know what
6
     that -- in that context.
7
            So just to make sure I understand. It's
8
     your position that we can't know how the
9
10
     citizenship question performs on the census until
11
    you have a census?
12
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Mischaracterizes
13
     prior testimony.
14
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
            Is that a fair summary?
15
        0
            So that in -- in the 2020 census, the
16
     environment will be radically anything that we can
17
     mimic in a test.
18
            Which is always the case for the
19
        0
20
    decennial?
        A
21
            Which is always the case.
22
             MS. GOLDSTEIN: Why don't we take a break
```

S		Page 234
1	A	Uh-huh.
2	Q	I'm sorry?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And if we look at F, explore nonfederal
5	surveys	for research on the impact of citizenship
6	question	ns on survey response rates, do know you if
7	the Cen	sus Bureau has done that?
8	А	I I don't know.
9	Q	And, again, would Ms. Battle be the
10	person	who knows this?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Anyone else?
13	A	Well, members of her team.
14	Q	Sure. And what would nonfederal surveys
15	for res	earch on the impact of citizenship
16	question	ns on survey response rates tell us?
17	A	Same thing that E would, what other
18	people 1	have experienced.
19	Q	And let's look at G, conduct a
20	Nationa	l Content Test with a split sample where
21	half the	e respondents received the citizenship
22	question	n and half do not. Comparing the response

```
Page 235
1
     rates across the two groups would be the primary
2
     way to test the impact of the citizenship question
     on survey response rates.
3
             Has this sort of test been run for the
4
                                             401/403
5
     citizenship question?
6
             It has not, as far as I know.
            And do you agree that this methodology
7
8
     set forth in Subparagraph G would be a way to test
     the impact of the citizenship question on survey
9
10
    response rates?
11
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
12
             THE WITNESS: It -- yes. It could be.
13
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
             Do you know of any plans to test the
14
     citizenship question in this form?
15
16
         A
             No, I do not.
17
         Q
             I'll take that back. Thank you.
18
             Part of your job, Dr. Jarmin, is to
19
     appoint people to advisory committees; is that
20
     correct?
21
         A
             Yes.
22
         0
             And what is the role of advisory
```

Page 236 1 committees in the decennial census? 2 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vaque. THE WITNESS: So advisory committees, 3 largely, are to give advise on various 4 Census Bureau methods and operations, how Census 5 can reach out to various communities to do our 6 job. 7 8 BY MS. GOLDSTEIN: 9 Why does the Census Bureau have advisory 10 committees? 11 A Well, I think we try to be, generally, 12 transparent in how we do our business. The 13 advisory committees are one way of achieving that. How many advisory committees does the 14 Census Bureau have that are involved in the 15 decennial census? 16 401/403 17 A Two. 18 What are those two? 19 A The National Advisory Committee and the Census Scientific Advisory Committee. 20 Can you tell me what the responsibilities 21 0 of the National Advisory Committee are? 22

Page 237 1 So National Advisory Committee is largely 2 made up of stakeholder -- representative stakeholder groups, largely from hard-to-count 3 4 communities to advise us on how to properly reach 5 out to be able to make sure those communities are 401/403 6 counted. Q And the Census Scien- -- the Census 7 Scientific Advisory Committee, what is that? 8 9 A Sort of all scientific methodology 10 matters across the Bureau. 11 Q So can you talk to me about how the 12 National Advisory Committee is typically involved 13 in the decennial census process? 14 A So -- well, we have, you know, two meetings a year, and, you know, they've been kept 15 apprised of all the planning and development of 16 the 2020 design throughout the decade. So, you 17 18 know, been able to comment on it all along. 19 0 When you say they've been kept apprised of the 2020 design, what do you mean? 20 Of how we're going to do the 2020 census. 21 A 22 0 When was, if at all, was the

	Page 238
1	National Advisory Committee notified of the
2	citizenship question?
3	A So I believe when it became public, that
4	the request from Art Gary had come in.
5	Q Is the National Advisory Committee
6	typically consulted by Census Bureau before the
7	Census Bureau makes decisions
8	MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vague.
9	BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
10	Q regarding the decennial census?
11	A With a subset of decisions.
12	Q What kind
13	We can't consult them on every decision
14	we make on a huge program like the census
15	Q Of course.
16	A but generically, they're kept apprised
17	of our plans and in a timely input, that they
18	could have input on ultimate decisions.
19	Q And why is it important for the
20	National Advisory Committee to have input on these
21	decisions?
22	A We think that it helps us do a better

	Page 239
1	census.
2	Q And you just distinguished between some
3	decisions that you're not able to keep the
4	National Advisory Committee in the loop for and
5	some that you are.
6	A Right.
7	Q Can you explain the kinds of decision
8	that the National Advisory Committee is brought
9	into the loop on?
10	A So they were brought in, you know, on our
11	basic, you know, multimode collection strategy.
12	They're they have some input on our
13	communications and outreach program that's been
14	particularly interesting to them. They were
15	apprised of the National Content Test and other
16	sort of mid-decade testing activities.
17	Q Is it fair to say that the
18	National Advisory Committee is involved in the
19	bigger decisions of the Census Bureau with respect
20	to the decennial census?
21	A Generally, yeah.
22	Q Okay. And is there a specific mechanism

```
Page 240
1
    for the --
 2
        A So can I go back?
3
        0
           Absolutely.
        A They have input on -- let's be clear.
 4
5
     They are not involved in any decision-making
 6
     processes.
        Q So that's what I'm curious about. How --
 7
8
     what's the process for the National Advisory
    Committee to give input, and how does that get
9
10
    back to the Census?
                                             401/403
11
        A So there's --
12
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Compound.
13
            THE WITNESS: There's a formal way that
     all the advisory committees, CSAC and NAC, after
14
15
     each meeting, they give written recommendations to
16
    the Bureau.
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
17
18
        0
            What form do those recommendations take
19
    place?
        A What do you mean, what form? They're
20
    written.
21
        Q It's like a memo?
22
```

	Page 241
1	A Yeah.
2	Q Who does it go to?
3	A The director.
4	Who is you, right now?
5	And then, you know, disbursed to various
6	parts of the Bureau for response and action.
7	And typically, when you get a memo from
8	NAC or CSAC, what is your process for dealing with 401/403
9	it?
10	MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vague.  THE WITNESS: So we have a relatively
12	formal process by which it gets disseminated to
13	the various subject matter experts that need to
14	weigh in on it, and then responses are drafted
15	and, you know, it's all, you know, delivered back
16	to NAC or CSAC, whichever the case may be.
17	BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
18	Q And it's the same for NAC and CSAC?
19	What's the timeline for delivering back
20	to the NAC?
21	A So we usually try do it as quickly as
22	possible, but sometimes some of these things take,

Page 242 1 you know, some time to sort through. But 2 certainly before the next meeting. 3 O Does the NAC play any role in changing or 4 adding questions to the census? 5 A When we've contemplated changes, they've 6 weighed in on that, but they don't play a role in -- I mean, they can suggest, like anybody else 7 8 can, but they don't have a -- they don't have any 9 more formal role than anybody else does in that 401/403 10 regard. 11 Q Did the NAC weigh in on the proposed 12 changes to the race and ethnicity question? 13 I believe they did. I was not an active 14 NAC meeting attendee at that time, but it's my 15 understanding that they -- that they weighed in on 16 that. 17 Do you know how they weighed in? You know, I think the NAC is a diverse 18 A 19 group of people. Race and ethnicity questions are something that never make everybody happy, so I 20 21 think there was lots of discussion amongst different viewpoints of the NAC about what was the 22

```
Page 243
1
    best approach to make.
 2
            Did the NAC ultimately make a
        0
 3
    recommendation?
            I'd have to go back to see what their
 4
5
    recommendation was.
 6
            You don't recall? 401/403
        0
        A I don't recall.
 7
            What about the MENA changes?
 8
            Yes. That would be one of the
9
        A
10
    controversial issues that was discussed amongst
11
    the NAC, so.
12
            For the record, can you just explain what
13
    the proposed MENA changes were?
            It was to add MENA as a separate category
14
        A
    on a combined race and ethnicity question.
15
16
            So when we talk about changes to the race
    and ethnicity question, are the MENA changes part
17
18
    of that conversation?
19
        A
            Yes.
            MS. GOLDSTEIN: Can we stamp this,
20
    please?
21
22
            I'm going to apologize. These are not
```

```
Page 244
1
     stamped.
 2
            (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22, U.S. Department
     of Commerce Census Bureau National Advisory
3
     Committee on Racial, Ethnic and Other Populations
 4
5
     Charter, was marked.)
                                               401/403
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
 6
         Q I'm showing what's been marked as
7
8
     Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22. It's titled U.S.
     Department of Commerce Census Bureau National
9
10
     Advisory Community on Race and Ethnicity and Other
11
     Populations Charter. It is a four-page document.
12
             Do you recognize this document?
13
            I'm not sure I've seen this or not. It
     looks like pretty standard -- standard boilerplate
14
15
     for advisory committee charter.
            So you've seen charters like this before?
16
17
         A
           Yes.
18
            Okay. And if we go to Section 3,
19
     objectives and scope of activities, it states that
     "The committee will advise the director of the
20
     Census Bureau."
21
22
            That's you, correct?
```

```
Page 245
1
            Yep.
2
            "On the full range of economic housing,
     demographic socioeconomic, linguistic,
3
4
     technological, methodological, geographic,
5
     behavioral and operational variables affecting the
     cost accuracy and implementation of Census Bureau
6
     programs and surveys, including the decennial
7
8
     census."
                                              401/403
9
            Correct?
10
        A Uh-huh. Yes.
11
        Q And so this charter -- does the
12
     citizenship question fall within this scope of
13
    activities?
14
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
15
             THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.
16
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
           If you go further down, it explains that
17
18
     "The committee will address census policies,
19
     research and methodology tests, operations,
     communications/messaging and other activities to
20
    ascertain the need -- ascertain needs and best
21
22
    practices to improve Census's surveys, operations
```

```
Page 246
1
     and programs."
 2
            Correct?
        A Correct.
3
        Q As part of this mandate, did the
 4
5
     NAC -- you've mentioned that the NAC weighed in on
     the citizenship question, correct?
 6
                                            401/403
           Yes, they did.
 7
        A
8
            And --
9
        A
            I was not in attendance at that meeting,
10
    though, so.
11
        Q Other than the meeting that you've
12
     referred to before, did the NAC weigh in, at all,
13
     on the citizenship question?
           Not that I know of.
14
        A
        Q If you go to the next paragraph, it
15
     mentions that the committee, the NAC, will review
16
     and provide formal recommendations and feedback on
17
18
     working papers, reports and other documents
19
    related to the design and implementation of
    Census Bureau programs and surveys, correct?
20
21
        A Yes.
        Q Did the NAC review any of the memos that
22
```

	Page 247
1	the Census Bureau prepared regarding the
2	citizenship question?
3	A Not that I know of.
4	Q Did you or anyone on your staff, to your
5	knowledge, provide the NAC with copies of those?
6	A No. What this refers to is the items
7	that are part of an agenda of a meeting. There
8	was not an agenda of a meeting 401/403
9	Q Okay.
10	A in that early 2018 time frame, so.
11	When were the meetings for the NAC?
12	A I think the NAC meeting was June, if I'm
13	not mistaken.
14	Q And then there would be a second one?
15	A You know, late in December.
16	Q Did the NAC provide any formal
17	recommendations or feedback on the citizenship question?
19	A I mean, they certainly have not read the
20	recommendation, the or at least I don't recall
21	reading the recommendation on this. They
22	certainly verbally and have PowerPoint slides in
	The state of the s

```
Page 248
     relationship to this, so.
1
 2
            Is there a process by which the
     Census Bureau formally reaches out to ask for the
3
     NAC's advice?
 4
5
         A So --
            Is that what you described before?
 6
         A So -- so we have a group that's in charge
7
     of the -- the advisory committees. They meet with
8
     the chair and sometimes other parts of the
9
10
     committee to discuss upcoming meetings and ongoing
11
     response to recommendations, and so there's
12
     relatively regular communications between our
                                            401/403
13
     staff and the committees.
            And who is the group that's in charge of
14
15
     the NAC?
             So they're in our communications area,
16
17
     so.
18
         Q
             Who is that?
19
         A
            Tara Dunlop Jackson.
            Anyone else?
20
         0
21
        A
            She's the person in charge.
         0
             And is that also for the CSAC?
22
```

```
Page 249
1
        A
            CSAC, yep. And the FESAC.
2
        0
            What is the FESAC?
            The Federal Economic Statistics Advisory
3
        A
    Committee.
4
5
        0
            So can I have that one back?
            (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22, Email, was
6
    marked.)
7
                                   401/403
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
8
            Did you see the PowerPoint presentation
9
        0
10
    that the NAC prepared that you referred to
11
    earlier?
12
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vaque.
13
            THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the
    one by Arturo Vargas?
14
15
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
16
        0
            Yes.
17
        A
            Yes.
18
            (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23, Emails, was
19
    marked.)
20
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
21
            I'm showing what has been marked as
        0
22
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit 23. It is an email chain
```

```
Page 250
1
    Bates-stamped 8630, 8631. Do you recognize this
2
    document?
3
            I think so, yeah.
4
        0
            What is this?
5
            So I think we were referring back to
6
    their recommendations.
            What were the NAC's recommendations with
7
        0
8
    respect to the citizenship question?
                                         401/403/802
9
        A To not ask it.
10
            After the NAC made the recommendation to
11
    not ask the citizenship question, what was the
12
    Census Bureau's next steps in response to that
    recommendation?
13
            I'm sure we're working on the response.
14
        A
    Say, you know, decision's been made, as was
15
    communicated in the meeting.
16
            Has that response gone out yet?
17
        Q
18
        A
            No. I don't know.
19
        0
            Who would know?
20
        A
            Tara.
            So in this email, it states towards the
21
        0
    very bottom on 8630 that, "The committee believes
22
```

```
Page 251
1
     the situation is so dire that it considers it a
2
     crisis and requires the immediate attention of the
    U.S. Secretary of Commerce and the Acting Deputy
3
 4
    Secretary of Commerce."
5
            Did the NAC ever have any direct
6
     communications or meetings with the U.S. Secretary
    of Commerce?
7
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Foundation.
8
9
            (Thereupon, the court reporter
10
    clarified.)
11
            THE WITNESS: So I don't believe that the
     NAC as a committee has.
12
                                      401/403
13
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
14
         0
           Okay.
15
            It's my understanding that Arturo was one
         A
    of the people that the Secretary spoke to.
16
         Q
           Was there -- what was the result of this
17
18
     email?
19
         A
            I don't know what you mean by that.
            So you mentioned that Arturo Vargas met
20
         0
    with Ross?
21
            Prior to the decision.
22
        A
```

```
Page 252
1
            Prior to the decision.
 2
            Do you know how, if at all, the NAC's
     recommendation with respect to the citizenship
3
 4
     question was taken into account by the Commerce
5
     Department?
 6
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
            THE WITNESS: I don't know. I am pretty
7
8
     sure that Arturo and the Secretary spoke and
     Arturo had his opportunity to state his case to
9
                                       401/403/802
10
     the Secretary.
11
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
12
         Q And other than that one meeting that
13
     you've referred to, the NAC meeting, did the
     Census Bureau have any additional meetings about
14
     the citizenship question with NAC?
15
16
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Foundation.
            THE WITNESS: No.
17
18
     BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
19
         0
           Other than the meeting that you've
    described with the NAC, did the Census Bureau have
20
21
    any meetings --
22
         A
            I'm sorry.
```

```
Page 253
1
            Go ahead.
 2
        A
            I mean, so they're -- on other work,
     there are ongoing discussions with the NAC and a
3
    range of matters. The NAC has subcommittees that
 4
5
    work with staff directly.
        Q Uh-huh.
 6
        A You know, I'm sure in those meetings the
7
8
    topic came up.
9
            What are those subcommittees where you
10
    think the topic came up?
11
        A
            So I think there's -- you know, I can't
12
     remember the names of the subcommittees, but
13
    there's some that work on the ACS. I think one
    that's roughly on administrative records. So
14
    various sort of subtopics. CSAC has them, as
15
16
    well.
                                               401/403
            And what is the CSAC's role?
17
18
         A
            Very similar. I'm sure if you go back
19
     and read the charter, it reads almost probably
    exactly the same.
20
            (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 24, U.S. Department
21
    of Commerce Bureau of the Census Scientific
22
```

```
Page 254
1
     Advisory Committee Charter, was marked.)
2
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
3
            I'm showing what has been marked as
 4
     Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. It is the U.S. Census
5
    Scientific Advisory Committee Charter. Is this
 6
     the CSAC charter?
7
         A Yes.
         Q And this charter also provides that it
8
     will provide formal review and feedback on
9
10
    internal and external working papers, reports and
11
     other documents related to the design and
12
    implementation of census programs and surveys?
                                           401/403
13
         A
           Yep.
            Did the CSAC provide any formal review of
14
15
     the memos relating to the citizenship question?
16
         A
            No.
            Did the CSAC provide any feedback on any
17
         0
18
     of the memos relating to the citizenship question?
19
         A
            No.
           Why not?
20
         0
            Again, it was not part of an organized
21
         A
22
    agenda in the meeting where they were -- where
```

```
Page 255
1
     those -- this was something that happened in the
 2
     compressed time frame, and we didn't have the
3
     normal period through which we could have these
     sorts of engagements.
 4
5
         Q Is it fair to say in the normal course,
     when a change is proposed to the decennial census,
 6
    it's on a longer time frame?
7
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Speculation.
8
9
            THE WITNESS: I mean --
10
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
                                        401/403
11
         Q
           For example, the --
12
         A
            No.
13
           -- race and ethnicity proposed changes?
            Yes. It was on a longer time schedule
14
     that allowed more feedback from the advisory
15
16
    committees.
            And typically, in the ordinary course,
17
18
     when there is a proposed change to a question,
19
     that proposed change is raised to the advisory
    committees, correct?
20
         A If it's significant.
21
         O So, typically, in the ordinary course,
22
```

```
Page 256
     where there is a proposed significant change to
1
2
    the census questionnaire, that proposed change is
    raised to the advisory committees, correct?
3
 4
        A
            Yes.
5
            Including the NAC?
6
        A
            Yes.
        Q And including the CSAC?
7
8
        A
            Correct.
            But that did not happen with the
9
        0
10
    citizenship question, correct?
                                              401/403
11
        A
            It did not.
12
        0
            Because there wasn't time?
13
            Because there wasn't time.
        A
            Were there any other reasons why the
14
        0
     citizenship question was not raised to the
15
    advisory committee?
16
17
            MS. BAILEY: Objection, speculation.
18
            THE WITNESS: No.
19
            That timing was the primary issue, yeah.
    BY MS. GOLDSTEIN:
20
           Were there any --
21
        0
22
        A
            No.
```

1	Page 257
(1)	Q other issues?
2	A Not that I know of.
(3)	Q Okay. Did CSAC, which is the
4	Census Scientific Advisory Committee, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Provide any feedback on the citizenship
7	question?
8	A Yes, they did.
9	What was their feedback on the
10	citizenship question?
11	A So they had a short presentation about it
12	at the spring CSAC meeting where they argued
13	against it. 401/403/802
14	Q For what reasons?
15	A For many of the normal reasons, the short
16	time frame. They were concerned about it not
17	being tested.
18	Q Has the Census Bureau responded to CSAC's
19	recommendation yet?
20	A I think we have, but I'm not sure. I'd
21	have to see if that's gone out or not.
22	Q Who would know?

```
Page 259
 1
            I'd like to follow up on something you
 2
     said earlier. I believe your testimony was that
     it's difficult to simulate the decennial census
 3
 4
     because it's unique. Is that a fair
 5
     characterization?
 6
           Correct.
        Q Okay. But, in fact, that the
 7
     Census Bureau does the multiyear testing program
8
    to prepare for the census; is that correct?
9
10
        A That's correct.
11
        Q Do you know when that testing process
12
    started?
                                            401/403
13
        A 2013.
            So seven years in advance of the
14
     decennial census, correct?
15
16
        A
            Correct.
            And from that testing, the Census Bureau
17
18
     determines -- obtains various pieces of
19
    information that are useful for development of the
    2020 census?
20
        A Correct.
21
        Q For example, self-response rates?
22
```

Page 260
A That's one thing that
Q Okay.
A So a testing self-response rate is not
that indicative of a census self-response rate
because of the lack of advertising and
Q But, in fact, you do do tests to
determine self-response rates in preparation for
the decennial census?
A I don't think we did any tests whose
purpose it was to determine what the self-response
rate was.
Q Do you also use these tests to determine
or to obtain information about nonresponse
follow-up procedures? 401/403
A About procedures, yes.
Q And about the use of administrative
records?
And about yes.
Q And about the use of data capture systems or the functionality of the those systems?
A Correct.
Q How about for language support
z don about fungaago bapporo

```
Page 261
1
     systems --
2
            (Conference call interruption.)
             THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. Please
3
     say the question again.
4
                                           401/403
5
    BY MR. TILAK:
6
             And how about language support systems or
    translations services?
7
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vague.
8
             THE WITNESS: So there was some stuff
9
10
     done with language, yes.
11
     BY MR. TILAK:
12
             So in short, this multiyear testing
13
     program does provide meaningful information that
14
     the Census Bureau uses to prepare for the 2020
15
     census?
16
         A
             Yes.
17
             Did you do any tests where the sole
18
     purpose was not self-response rates but one of the
19
     items that was looked at was self-response rates?
20
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
21
             THE WITNESS: So we always look at the
22
     self-response rate as a matter of course.
```

```
Page 265
     that's not necessarily the rule.
 1
             It's not a prerequisite to be hired as a
 2
     decennial census enumerator?
 3
             Not if you're going to try to hire
 4
     hundreds of thousands of people, it's not.
 5
            Earlier today you mentioned the concept
 6
     of a hard-to-count population. What is a
7
     hard-to-count population?
8
         A So there are certain subpopulations that
9
10
     are lower self-response rates. You know, recent
11
     immigrants, you know, people in poverty, you know,
                                          401/403
12
     folks on tribal lands.
13
         Q How about noncitizen?
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vaque.
14
             THE WITNESS: Noncitizen, their recent
15
     immigrants would be included in that.
16
     BY MR. TILAK:
17
18
           How about households with limited English
19
     proficiency, are they considered --
20
         A
            Yes.
           -- considered a hard-to-count population?
21
         0
         A
22
            Yes.
```

```
Page 266
1
            In general, isn't it the case that more
2
    nonresponse follow-up is needed for hard-to-count
3
    populations compared to the population at large?
                                   401/403
        A
4
            Yes.
             Now, for the 2020 census, what is the
 5
6
     maximum number of times that an enumerator will
     visit a household that has not self-responded?
7
             I think, by design, six times.
8
         A
             And six is not the number at which the
9
         0
10
     Census Bureau expects every household to have
11
    responded, correct?
12
             MS. BAILEY: Objection.
                                      Vaque.
13
             THE WITNESS: So most households that
14
     respond to NRFU will respond before six visits.
     It's just some households are harder to get than
15
16
    others.
17
     BY MR. TILAK:
            Sir, so after six visits, some households
        0
19
    may still not have responded?
                                            401/403
        A
            Correct.
20
        Q And as compared to the U.S. population as
21
    a whole, is it more likely that hard-to-count
22
```

Page 267 1 populations would still not be counted after those 2 maximum of six visits? 401/403 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form. 3 THE WITNESS: It is. Hard-to-count 4 5 populations will have a higher proportion in the 6 higher count of NRFU visits. BY MR. TILAK: 7 8 And would they also have a higher 9 proportion in the amount of people who have not 10 been enumerated after the maximum of NRFU visits? 11 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form. 12 THE WITNESS: That's actually more 13 difficult to say. BY MR. TILAK: 14 15 Are you aware of any studies assessing 16 that question? 17 I know that imputation rates are slightly 18 higher, but it's hard to -- you know, the -- what 19 happens at the end of NRFU is -- is -- you know, 20 we seek proxy responses and that sort of thing, as well. So proxy rates are definitely higher 21 for hard-to-count communities. 22

```
Page 268
            And are proxy rates -- are proxies sought
 1
2
     for households that do not respond to nonresponse
3
    follow-up?
4
        A
            Yes.
5
            Are they sought in any other circumstance
     in the context of the decennial census?
6
            If we received a response, we wouldn't
7
        A
8
     use a proxy response.
            So the only time you would use a proxy
9
     response is if a household didn't respond in NRFU?
10
11
        A
             Yes.
             Now, the maximum of six visits, is that
12
13
     set, in part, based on budgetary and staffing
14
     considerations?
15
        A
             Yes.
             Because the census has a limited staff?
16
17
        A
            Yes.
18
        0
             And limited budget?
19
        A
            And a limited budget --
        0
            Budget?
20
        A
             -- and a limited schedule.
21
        0
            And we just mentioned proxies. Who
22
```

```
Page 269
 1
    qualifies as a proxy for a nonresponding
2
    household?
3
            I don't understand the --
4
            Is it neighbors or landlords --
5
            So you're asking who could give a proxy
6
    response for --
7
        0
            Right.
            Typically, neighbors or other -- you
8
    know, neighbors, sometimes maybe a postal worker.
9
    You know, somebody with direct knowledge of the
10
11
    number of people living in that house.
12
        0
            How does the Census Bureau go about
13
    identifying who has this knowledge, minimum
14
    knowledge --
15
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Vaque.
16
            THE WITNESS: So there are procedures and
17
    NRFU training about what to do. And, you know,
    obviously, asking a neighbor is the key.
18
19
    BY MR. TILAK:
        Q For the 2020 census, is there a maximum
20
21
    number of proxy visits?
        A
            So we try -- if we haven't found anybody
22
```

Page 270 1 by four or five, we start looking for a proxy 2 then. 3 Is there a point in which you stop 4 looking for a proxy? 5 So, you know, the design is to stop at 6 six. And it's possible that after six visits, 7 you haven't gotten the response in nonresponse 8 follow-up and you also haven't gotten the response 9 10 in proxy; is that right? 11 A That is true, yes. And is that the situation -- where you 12 13 haven't gotten the response in nonresponse 14 follow-up or proxy, is that a situation where you would apply imputation? 15 A 16 Yes. 17 And I think you mentioned this earlier, Q 18 but compared to the U.S. population generally, are 19 proxy rates for hard-to-count populations higher? 20 Yes. A Are administrative records another way 21 0 that the Census Bureau can use to enumerate a 22

	Page 271
1	household that has not self-responded?
(2)	A Yes.
(3)	Q And to determine the number of people who
4	live in a household, what administrative records
5	does the Census Bureau look at?
(6)	A So a variety of administrative records.
7	So, importantly, you know, income tax returns,
8	other government administrative records from
9	Social Security or or various, you know,
10	assistance programs, SNAP or but also using
(11)	other information like, you know, whether the mail
12)	is being delivered to the house at the current
(13)	time and that sort of stuff.
14	It's actually a pretty small share of
15)	the you know, it's only like 4 percent of the
(16)	households can be enumerated that way, where we
17	have high high-quality records that are very
18	stable over a period of time.
19	Q So the ability to use administrative
20	records applies to a fairly small portion of the 401/403
21	population; is that correct?
22	A Of the NRFU population, yes.

	Page 272
1	Q Of the NRFU population.
2	Compared to the U.S. population at large,
3	is the can administrative records be used to
4	enumerate hard-to-count populations at a smaller
5	rate than the U.S. population at large? $401/403$
6	MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
7	THE WITNESS: That is the case.
8	BY MR. TILAK:
9	Q Is whole person imputation a mechanism
10	that the Census Bureau uses to enumerate
11	households that have failed to self-respond?
12	A And failed non didn't respond in
13	nonresponse follow-up.
14	Q Does the Census Bureau try to obtain a
15	proxy response before resorting to
16	A Yes.
17	Q whole person imputation?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And briefly, what is whole person 401/403
20	<pre>imputation?</pre>
21	A It's when the count in the house is
22	imputed and their characteristics are imputed.

î	Page 273
1	Q How is that done?
2	A Using neighborhood characteristics 401/403
3	and so
4	Q Where does the Census sorry. Finish
5	your answer.
6	A From the other people that have
7	responded.
8	Q From what sources does the Census Bureau
9	determine the neighborhood characteristics that it
10	then uses to impute to the households?
11	A So from the ACS, from administrative
12	records, but also, really important here, from the
13	other responses to the Census.
14	Q Now, besides NRFU and proxy responses and
15	administrative records and whole person
16	imputations, are there any other methods that the
17	Census Bureau can use to enumerate a household
18	that fails to self-respond?
19	A That's pretty much it.
20	Q That's the whole list.
21	If there is a decline in the
22	self-response for a subpopulation within the U.S.

Page 274 1 population, is it your understanding that this 2 will be associated with an increase in the net undercount of that population? 3 4 That's not necessarily the case. 5 would be an increase in the NRFU workload for that 6 population. And so if there's a decline in 7 401/403 self-response, then the NRFU workload would be 8 increased, correct? 9 10 A Yes. 11 0 Are you aware of any studies that have 12 analyzed the relationship between a decline in 13 self-response in a particular subpopulation and 14 the net undercount for that subpopulation? 15 I'm not familiar with any. That doesn't mean they don't exist, so -- again, this is -- I'm 16 an economist, not a demographer. 17 18 0 Do you know who would be the right person 19 to ask about this at the Census Bureau? 20 Karen Battle I would start with. Or I A 21 would add -- no. Karen is a good person. And if there's a decline in the 22 0 PL obj (274:22 to 275:19), FRE 701 to 705

Page 275 1 self-response for a hard-to-count population, 2 would that be associated with the net undercount 3 for that hard-to-count population? 4 Again, not necessarily. It means that we A 5 would have a higher NRFU workload for that population, and we may capture all of those folks 6 being NRFU. 7 So the net undercount would be avoided if 8 the NRFU procedures were as effective for that 9 10 hard-to-count population as for the population at 11 large; is that a fair statement? 12 Or as is -- as good as they were for that 13 subpopulation before. 14 Could you explain that? 0 Well, you know, you're trying to 15 A enumerate this subpopulation, so if only the 16 self-response is now at issue but NRFU is not an 17 18 issue, you should be able to pick everybody else 19 up with NRFU, so. 20 Now, you testified earlier about the Q 21 memos that Dr. Abowd prepared on January 19th and 22 March of 2018. Was it your testimony that you

Page 278 1 A No. 2 So you would agree that the inclusion -- or the citizenship question could 3 potentially be much more burdensome and would lead 4 5 to a larger decline in self-response for 6 noncitizen households? A Correct. 7 And noncitizen households here are any 8 households with at least one noncitizen? 9 10 A Correct. 11 And then if we could turn to Exhibit 16, 12 which I believe is the March 2018 memo, starting Bates was 0009812, I believe. 13 I don't have it. 14 A 15 Thank you. This is the questions. It's not the -- you're looking for the Secretary's 16 17 memo? 18 0 Correct. Yes. I apologize for that 19 confusion. 20 If I can direct you to Bates ending on 15, the last full paragraph that starts, "how 21 might inclusion." 22

	Page 279
1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q Halfway through that paragraph,
(3)	"Inclusion of a citizenship question on the 2020
4	census questionnaire is very likely to reduce the
5	self-response rate pushing more households into
6	NRFU."
7	Do you agree with that statement?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And then the last statement in that or
10	the second to last sentence in that paragraph,
11	"Those refusing to self-respond to the citizen
12	question are particularly likely to refuse to
13	respond in NRFU, as well, resulting in a proxy
14	response."
15	A Uh-huh.
16	Q Do you agree with that statement?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So would it be fair to say between these two memos, that it is likely that a citizenship
20	question will lead to a decline in self-response
21	among noncitizen households?
22	A That's what the analysis predicted, yes.
44	A That b what the analysis predicted, yes.

Page 280 1 And then these noncitizen households who 2 did not respond because of the citizenship question were particularly likely to refuse to 3 respond in NRFU, as well? 4 5 A Yes. And that would result in a proxy 6 7 response? 8 A Correct. In evaluating the citizenship question, 9 10 did anyone at the Bureau look at the efficacy of 11 non-response follow-up procedures for noncitizen 12 households in comparison to other segments of the 13 population? Not in -- I don't think that was -- we 14 15 have other things that look at efficacy of NRFU 16 procedures. That was not done as part of this 17 analysis. 18 Apart from this analysis, was any study 19 of the efficacy of nonresponse follow-up procedures for noncitizen households compared to 20 other parts of the population done at the 21 Census Bureau? 22

```
Page 281
1
            So I'm not sure if there -- I'd have to
2
    go back and see if there was really a study that
    had that as its goal, but analyzing the -- you
3
    know, procedures and how well, you know, our
4
5
    instruments work and stuff like that was,
6
    obviously, a big part of the '18 test, so --
            But you're not aware of a study that
7
        Q
8
    focused specifically on looking at efficacy for
9
    the noncitizen --
10
        A
            No.
11
        0
            -- households --
12
        A
            No.
13
            And you said that was tested in the
        0
    end-to-end test?
14
15
        A
            NRFU procedures were, yes.
            And the end-to-end test did not contain
16
17
    the citizenship question, correct?
18
        A
            Correct.
19
        0
            Did anyone at the Bureau evaluate the
20
    efficacy of nonresponse follow-up procedures for
    Hispanic households or households with limited
21
    English proficiency as compared to the general
22
```

Page 282 1 U.S. population? 2 So, again, you know, the answer there is the same. We do try to have in-language people as 3 enumerators. So there's often an after-event 4 401/403 5 analysis of how well that seems to work, so. 6 But you're not aware of any specific test that targeted that question? 7 8 No, not -- not specifically. 9 How about -- did the Bureau evaluate the 10 efficacy of nonresponse follow-up procedures based 11 on census tract or based on state? 12 A On census or state? 13 0 Yes. 14 I mean, obviously, we look at -- we look 15 at things by the characteristics of different 16 units of geography, but, you know, I'm not sure what you mean by -- what -- what specifically are 17 18 you trying to get at here? 19 Are there any tests that look at whether 20 certain census tracts are harder to enumerate 21 through nonresponse follow-up procedures than other citizen tracts? 22

Page 283 So, I mean, we already -- we already know 1 2 that, to some degree, because we know that those tracts are made up of higher proportions of 3 hard-to-count populations, so that's -- I don't 4 know what the test is that you're looking for 5 here. So --6 And then you mentioned for these 7 8 noncitizen households that are likely to not 9 self-respond and then will refuse to answer in 10 NRFU, that a proxy response would be required. In 11 evaluating the citizenship question, did the 12 Bureau consider whether -- consider the 13 availability of proxies -- let me rephrase. 14 In evaluating the citizenship question, did anyone at the Bureau consider whether the 15 16 availability of proxy was the same for noncitizen 17 households as for other parts of the U.S. 18 population? 19 A Not that I know of. How about for Hispanic households or 20 households with limited English proficiencies? 21 A Yeah. I don't think we've broken 22

```
Page 284
1
     that -- I mean, neighbors are neighbors, so.
 2
         Q And has the Bureau done any analysis of
     the availability of proxies that's broken down by
3
 4
     census tract or by state?
                                   401/403
5
         A
            No.
            Has any analysis been done by the Bureau
 6
         0
     on the willingness of proxies to respond for
7
8
     noncitizen households versus the U.S. population
9
     at large?
10
         A
           Not that I know of.
11
         Q The same question with respect to proxies
     for Hispanic households or households with limited
12
13
     English proficiency.
14
         A
            No.
            If proxies were less available for
15
     noncitizen households, then fewer of these
16
     noncitizen households that did not respond to NRFU
17
18
     would be enumerated through proxies, correct?
19
             MS. BAILEY: Objection. Calls for
     speculation.
20
21
            THE WITNESS: Presumably.
     BY MR. TILAK:
22
```

```
Page 285
1
            We already briefly discussed
 2
     administrative records. In evaluating the
     citizenship question, did anyone at the Bureau
3
 4
    consider the differential quality or availability
5
    of administrative records for noncitizen
6
    households as compared to the U.S. population?
            MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.
7
8
            THE WITNESS: Yeah. That was analyzed in
9
    2010.
                                      401/403
10
    BY MR. TILAK:
11
        0
           What test was that analyzed in?
12
            I believe it was called the census 2010
13
    match study.
            What was the impetus for that test?
14
        0
            It was one of the regular tests we ran as
15
        A
16
    part of the 2010 program.
            Briefly, what were the findings of that
17
        Q
18
    study?
19
        A
            So the study basically documented how
    well we could match census responses, including
20
    NRFU and proxy responses, to administrative
21
    records.
22
```

```
Page 286
1
            And did that study also look at the
2
    availability of administrative records for
    Hispanic households --
3
4
        A
            That --
5
            -- and households of --
            That --
6
        A
        Q -- limited English --
7
8
        A
            That would --
9
        0
           -- proficiency?
10
        A
            -- so it was able to demonstrate that
11
    hard-to-count populations had lower-quality
12
    administrative records.
13
            (Thereupon, reporter requested to speak)
    one at a time.)
14
15
    BY MR. TILAK:
            And when you say lower-quality
16
        0
17
    administrative records, that means that the
18
    administrative records could be used to enumerate
19
    those households less of the time?
            Correct.
20
        A
            Now, with respect to whole person
21
        0
    imputation, in evaluating the citizenship
22
```

```
Page 287
1
     question, did anyone evaluate whether whole person
2
     imputation was less accurate for households with
     noncitizen as opposed to the population at large?
3
        A I'm not sure that that -- that's been
4
5
     done. I imagine it probably would be, but I don't
6
     know what study that is.
        Q You haven't seen any study that looks at
7
8
     this question?
                                               401/403
            I don't recall seeing it, no.
9
10
        0
            Has anyone evaluated the accuracy of
11
     whole person imputation for Hispanic or households
12
     with limited English proficiency compared to the
13
    population at --
14
        A
            Same.
15
           -- large?
        0
        A
16
            Same.
            My quess is there's a study out there,
17
18
    I'm just not familiar with it.
19
        0
            Was any such study, to your knowledge,
    part of the review of the citizen question in
20
21
     preparation for the decennial --
        A No.
22
```

Page 288 0 1 -- census? 2 Did anyone evaluate the accuracy of whole person imputation based on census tract or state? 3 I -- I don't know, but that would have 4 been done, probably, in a post-enumeration survey 5 type thing. Would not have been able to evaluate 6 7 it by state, so. 8 And by post-enumeration survey, what do 9 you mean by that? 10 A So that's something we do afterwards to 11 assess the quality of the census. 12 So the last time that that would have 13 been done would have been after the 2010 census? 14 2010, yes. A 15 Do you know if any such survey was used in preparations for this 2020 census? 16 17 So you can only do a post-enumeration 18 survey after you do a census. So we did use those 19 results to inform our plans and procedures for 20 2020. Are you aware of any specific calculation 21 of the additional costs that would be incurred to 22